SS 44 (Rev. 12.07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docker sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE RIVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the CIVII docker sheet. (SPE I	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE	TORNA			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	1	 -
DAKOTA CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION			UPONOR, INC.		
	of First Listed Plaintiff CLARK C XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF (ASES)	OUNTY, NV		First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAIN HEF CASES) CONDEMNATION CASES US NVOLVED.	
(a) Attornacio (F. News	NJA J. TIn-Land, Worshood		Attorneys (If Known)		
SEE ATTACHMENT	e. Address and Telephone Number)	}	-	Esa., Bremer Whyte E	srown & O'Meara LLP,
			7670 West Lake I	Mead Blvd., Suite 225	5, Las Vegas, NV 89128
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OlCTION (Place an "X" in One Box On		TIZENSHIP OF PI For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
7 1 U.S Government Plaintiff	 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) 	Cinize	n of This State		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity		n of Another State	2 7 2 Incorporated and I of Business in a	
200	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in	Citize	n or Subject of a	3	26 26
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	for	eign Country		
CONTRACT	TORTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Manne ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Leans (Exel Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran s Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 I and Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Jorts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 310 Airplane □ 362 Pers □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 365 Pers □ 330 Federal Employers Liability □ 368 Asbe □ 340 Marine Product Liability □ 370 Other Liability □ 370 Other □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 441 Veting □ 510 Motor □ 443 Housing □ 443 Housing □ Accommodations □ 535 Deat	sonal Injury did Malpractice on al Injury did Malpractice did Malpractice did Rights on Condution	0 Agriculture 0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Fiquor Laws 0 R R, & Truck 0 Author Regs 0 Occupational Safety Health 0 Other LABOR 6 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Fabor Mgmt Relations 0 Fabor Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act 0 Railway Labor Act 0 Other Labor Linguition 1 Fimpl. Ref. Inc. Security Act 1 IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 3 Habeas Corpus Men Detainee 5 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Tule XV 1 □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plamtiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable Sat 1 V □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities Commodities □ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Stantiory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information □ Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
□1 Original ⊠ 2 R	an 'X' in One Box Only) temoved from	ourt Reop	pened another (specif		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON ON Case the U.S. C.S. it statute under water with the control of the cont				each of Warranty of
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	N	5,000,0	EMAND Surveyor 00.00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions) JUDG1			DOCKET NUMBER	
05/18/2011	J. SIGNAT	IL RE OF ATTORNEY	OF RECORD		
FÖR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPL	YING II P	R DGE	л эги	DGI

PLAINTIFF ATTORNEYS

Scott K. Canepa, Esq. Terry W. Riedy, Esq. CANEPA REIDY & RUBINO 851 S. Rampart Blvd., #160 Las Vegas, NV 89145 (702) 304-2335

Robert C. Maddox, Esq. Troy L. Isaacson, Esq. ROBERT C. MADDOX & ASSOCIATES 3811 W. Charleston Blvd., #110 Las Vegas, NV 89102 (702) 366-1900

J. Randal Jones, Esq. KEMP, JONES & COULTHARD, LLP 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, 17th Floor Las Vegas, NV 89169 (702) 385-6000

Francis Lynch, Esq. LYNCH, HOPPER & SALZANO, LLP 231 S. Third St., #130 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 868-1115

James C. Carraway, Esq. CARRAWAY & ASSOCIATES, LLC 7674 West Lake Mead Blvd., Suite 215 Las Vegas, NV 89128 (702) 632-1580

```
PETER C. BROWN, ESQ.
             Nevada State Bar No. 5887
            BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP
             7670 WEST LAKE MEAD BOULEVARD
            SUITE 225
             LAS VEGAS, NV 89128
            TELEPHONE: (702) 258-6665
             FACSIMILE: (702) 258-6662
             pbrown@bremerandwhyte.com
             Attorneys for Detendant,
          6
             UPONOR, INC.
          7
          8
                                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
          9
                                             DISTRICT OF NEVADA
         10
             DAKOTA CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, a ) Case No.
             Nevada non-profit community association,
             individually and in its representative capacity;
             DOE Homeowner/Condominium/Community
                                                            UPONOR, INC.'S NOTICE OF
         12
             Associations 1-10,000; DOE/ROE PERSONS 1-
                                                            REMOVAL TO THE UNITED STATES
         13
             250,00,
                                                            DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
                                                            DISTRICT OF NEVADA
                          Plaintiffs,
         14
         15
                   VS.
         16
             WIRSBO COMPANY, an Illinois corporation;
             UPONOR WIRSBO COMPANY, an Illinois
         17
             company; UPONOR, INC., an Illinois
             corporation: UPONOR CORPORATION, a
         18
             Finnish corporation, UPONOR NORTH
             AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; DOE
             INDIVIDUALS 1-20,00 and ROE BUSINESS or
             GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES 1-20.000.
         20
                          Defendants.
         21
         22
                   COMES NOW UPONOR, INC., a Minnesota Corporation, by and through its counsel of
         23
             record, BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA, LLP, and submits this Notice of Removal to
         24
             the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.
         25
                   PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that UPONOR, INC., a Minnesota Corporation, hereby
         26
             removes the state action entitled "DAKOTA CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-
         27
             profit community association, individually and in its representative capacity; DOE
         28
             Homeowner/Condominium/Community Associations v. WIRSBO COMPANY, an Illinois
BREMER WHYTE BROWN &
O'MEARA LLP
7670 West Lake Mead Blvd
Suite 225
Las Vegas, NV 89128
  (702) 258 6665
```

H: 1226 018 CF Unonor's Notice of Removal to US Discirict Court doc

d. UPONOR, INC's Notice of Removal of Action attached hereto as Exhi "4". 4. A copy of this Notice is being filed concurrently with the clerk of the Clark Coud District Court and served on Plaintiffs' counsel. Dated: May 12, 2011 BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP By Peter C' Brown, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 5887 Attorneys for Third-Party Defendants UPONOR, INC. and UPONOR CORPORATION 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	
3 4. A copy of this Notice is being filed concurrently with the clerk of the Clark Courd District Court and served on Plaintiffs' counsel. Dated: May L., 2011 BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP By: Peter C. Brown, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 5887 Attorneys for Third-Party Defendants UPONOR, INC. and UPONOR CORPORATION 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	nty
District Court and served on Plaintiffs' counsel. Dated: May 12, 2011 BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP By Reter C: Brown, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 5887 Attorneys for Third-Party Defendants UPONOR, INC. and UPONOR CORPORATION CORPORATION 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	nty
Dated: May	
By: Peter C. Brown, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 5887 Attorneys for Third-Party Defendants UPONOR, INC. and UPONOR CORPORATION 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	
Peter C. Brown, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 5887 Attorneys for Third-Party Defendants UPONOR, INC. and UPONOR CORPORATION 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	
Péter C. Brown, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 5887 Attorneys for Third-Party Defendants UPONOR, INC. and UPONOR CORPORATION 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	
Attorneys for Third-Party Defendants UPONOR, INC. and UPONOR CORPORATION 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	_
CORPORATION 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	
12 13 14 15 16 17	
13 14 15 16 17	
14 15 16 17	
15 16 17	
16 17	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	I
27	ļ
28	ļ

BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA ILP 7070 Vest Case Minus Dive Suce 225 Las Vegas NV 89128 (702) 258 6665

1	CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE & MAILING	
2	The undersigned hereby certifies that on the day of May 2011, I served a copy of the	
3	foregoing NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT	
4	COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA by transmitting via facsimile to the below	
5	facsimile number and by causing a copy of the same to be duly deposited in the United States mail.	
6	postage prepaid, addressed to:	
7 8 9 10	Robert C. Maddox, Esq. Troy L. Isaacson, Esq. ROBERT C. MADDOX & ASSOCIATES 3811 W. Charleston Blvd., #110 Las Vegas, NV 89102 FACSIMILE: (702) 366-1999	
11 12 13 14	Scott K. Canepa, Esq. Terry W. Riedy, Esq. CANEPA REIDY & RUBINO 851 S. Rampart Blvd., #160 Las Vegas, NV 89145 FACSIMILE: (702) 304-2336	
15 16 17	J. Randal Jones, Esq. KEMP, JONES & COULTHARD, LLP 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, 17th Floor Las Vegas, NV 89169 FACSIMILE: (702) 385-6001	
19 20 21	Francis Lynch, Esq. LYNCH, HOPPER & SALZANO, LLP 231 S. Third St., #130 Las Vegas, NV 89101 FACSIMILE: (702) 868-1114	
23 24 25	James C. Carraway, Esq. CARRAWAY & ASSOCIATES, LLC 7674 West Lake Mead Blvd., Suite 215 Las Vegas, NV 89128 FACSIMILE: (702) 632-1581	
26 27	Anticus	
28	An Employee of BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA, LLP	

BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O MEARA LLP 7670 West Lake Mead Blvd 5016 2/20 Las Vegas NV 89128 (702) 258-6665

4

EXHIBIT "1"

EXHIBIT "1"

Electronically Filed 04/27/2011 09:56:46 AM

		Alun & Chuin
1 2	ACOM SCOTT K. CANEPA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 004556	CLERK OF THE COURT
3	TERRY W. RIEDY, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 003895	
4	CANEPA RIEDY & RUBINO 851 South Rampart Boulevard, Suite 160 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145-4885	
5	Tel. (702) 304-2335 Fax: (702) 304-2336	
6 ¹ 7	ROBERT C. MADDOX, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 004002	
8	TROY L. ISAACSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006690	·
9	MADDOX, ISAACSON & CISNEROS 3811 W. Charleston, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
10		
11	J. RANDALL JONES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 001927	
13	KEMP JONES & COULTHARD, LLP 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, 17th Floor	
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Tel. (702) 385-6000 Fax (702) 385-6001	
15	FRANCIS LYNCH, ESQ.	
	Nevada Bar No. 004145 LYNCH HOPPER & SALZANO, LLP 1640 Alta Drive, Suite 11	
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 Tel. (702) 868-1115	
19	Fax (702) 868-1114 JAMES C. CARRAWAY, ESO.	
	Nevada Bar No. 007642 CARRAWAY & ASSOCIATES, LLC	
21 22	7674 W. Lake Mead Blvd. #215 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 Te. (702) 632-1580	
23	Fax (702) 632-1581 Class Counsel	
24	DISTRICT COURT	
25	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
26	* * *	
27 28	DAKOTA CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION,) CASE NO. INC., a Nevada non-profit community association,) DEPT. NO. individually and in its representative capacity:	A638395 XXII
1	V	

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
1	DOE Homeowner/Condominium/Community Associations 1-10,000: DOE/ROE PERSONS 1 -	}
2	250,000,)
3	Plaintiffs,)
4	VS.)
5	WIRSBO COMPANY, an Illinois corporation;	
6	UPONOR WIRSBO COMPANY, an Illinois company; UPONOR, INC., an Illinois corporation;)
7	UPÓNÓR CORPORATION, a Finnish corporation, UPONOR NORTH AMERICA, INC.)
8	a Delaware corporation; DOE INDIVIDUALS 1-20,000 and ROE BUSINESS or	, may /
9	GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES 1-20,000,)
	Defendants.	1
10)

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND OTHER RELIEF

Exempt from Arbitration:

- 1. Declaratory Relief
- 2. Damages exceeding \$50,000
- 3. Construction defects
- 4. Significant issues of public policy
- Class action

COME NOW Plaintiffs, the DAKOTA CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC., DOE Homeowner/Condominium/Community Associations 1-10,000 and DOE/ROE PERSONS 1-250,000, individually, in their representative capacities and as class representatives, and hereby complain, allege and state as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a class action for damages and other relief brought pursuant to NRCP 23 and NRS Chapter 40 on behalf of all similarly-situated owners of residences, Nevada Common Interest Communities, and others in the Las Vegas Valley who have suffered damage as a result of owning homes with, or whose members' homes contain, defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components (which includes: ball valves, pressure reducing valves, shut-off valves, angle stops, isolation valves, gate valves, recirculation pumps, swing check valves, ice box shut-off valves, washing machine box shut-off valves and hose bibs).

II. <u>PARTIES</u>

2. At all times relevant hereto, the following Homeowner/Condominium/Community Associations are Nevada Common Interest Communities who own and are responsible for the maintenance and repair of certain real property located in the Las Vegas Valley, and hereby bring this

3

4

5

6

8

()

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

26

27

28

action individually, as class representatives, in their representative capacities and on behalf of those who are similarly-situated pursuant to the authority granted by Nevada law, including NRS Chapter 116, NRS 116.3102(1)(d), NRS Chapter 40 and by and through their governing documents, and have standing to assert constructional defect claims for defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components in their representative capacities on behalf of their members for these common defects in individual units of a common-interest community. D.R. Horton, Inc. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 215 P.3d 697 (Nev. 2009):

- A. The DAKOTA CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC., a non-profit corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Nevada. At issue are 173 units in the Dakota condominium community located at various addresses on Peachy Canyon Circle in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- В. DOE Homeowner/Condominium/Community Associations 1-10,000, whose identities are presently unknown, are the subject of ongoing discovery, and therefore sued under fictitious names. DOE/ROE Homeowner/Condominium/Community Associations 1-10,000 are Nevada Common Interest Communities who own and are responsible for the maintenance and repair of certain real property located in the Las Vegas Valley by and through the authority granted by Nevada law, including NRS Chapter 116, NRS 116.3102(1)(d), NRS Chapter 40 and by and through their governing documents. and have standing to assert constructional defect claims for defective high zinc Wirsho brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components in their representative capacities on behalf of their members for these common defects in individual units of a common-interest community. D.R. Horton, Inc. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 215 P.3d 697 (Nev. 2009). Plaintiffs will seek leave to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities as they are ascertained.
- 3. At all times relevant hereto, the following persons, or their successors-in-interest, own certain real property in the Las Vegas Valley with defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems

ì

and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components, and bring this action individually, as class representatives and on behalf of those who are similarly-situated:

- A. DOE/ROE persons 1 250,000, whose identities are presently unknown, are the subject of ongoing discovery, and are therefore sued under fictitious names. DOE/ROE persons 1 250,000 are the owners of certain real property in the Las Vegas Valley with defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components and bring this action individually, as class representatives and on behalf of those who are similarly-situated. Plaintiffs will seek leave to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities as they are ascertained.
- 4. Plaintiffs seek declaratory relief to resolve any controversies regarding their capacity or standing to sue, whether as the real party in interest or as a proper party or class representatives.
- 5. The "Subject Homes" are all homes in the Las Vegas Valley which contain defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components. The defective plumbing systems and components have prematurely failed due to dezincification corrosion of the high zinc component parts. These defects have caused resulting damage to persons and/or property, including but not limited to product failures, dezincification corrosion, leaks, blockages, loss of use and function of the plumbing system and related improvements and/or appliances provided by others, resultant damage to the Subject Homes and the work of others (e.g., water loss, damage to framing, drywall and/or other building materials), resultant damage to water quality, economic losses including costs of maintenance and/or repair, and all reasonable fees, costs, interest and/or expenses associated therewith. These damages and others are expected to increase over time as the defective systems and components continue to fail.
- 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that the following defendants are/were responsible for the design, development, testing, manufacture, distribution, supply, marketing, sale and warranting of defective high zine Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zine brass plumbing components in the Las Vegas Valley and/or were involved in the planning, development, design, construction, warranting, repair, selection of materials, supply of materials, installation of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

materials and/or sale of the Subject Homes, which contain defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components, and proximately caused the injuries and damages herein alleged:

- Α. Defendant UPONOR, INC. is a foreign (Illinois) company that designs, develops. manufactures, distributes, markets, and sells plumbing and pipe products and components into the State of Nevada by itself and/or by and through its alteregos, agents, predecessors in interest and/or other representatives.
- Β. Defendant UPONOR CORPORATION is a Finnish corporation and parent of Defendant UPONOR, INC. that designs, develops, manufactures, distributes, markets, and sells plumbing and pipe products and components into the State of Nevada by itself and/or by and through its alter-egos, agents, predecessors in interest and/or other representatives.
- C. Defendant WIRSBO COMPANY is a foreign (Illinois) company that designs. develops, manufactures, distributes, markets, and sells plumbing and pipe products and components into the State of Nevada by itself and/or by and through its alter-egos, agents, predecessors in interest and/or other representatives.
- D. Defendant UPONOR WIRSBO COMPANY is a foreign (Illinois) company that designs, develops, manufactures, distributes, markets, and sells plumbing and pipe products and components into the State of Nevada by itself and/or by and through its alter-egos, agents, predecessors in interest and/or other representatives.
- E. Defendant UPONOR NORTH AMERICA, INC. is a foreign (Delaware) company that designs, develops, manufactures, distributes, markets, and sells plumbing and pipe products and components into the State of Nevada by itself and/or by and through its alter-egos, agents, predecessors in interest and/or other representatives.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

74

25

26

27

28

- F. Hereinafter Defendants UPONOR, INC.; UPONOR CORPORATION; WIRSBO COMPANY; UPONOR WIRSBO COMPANY and UPONOR NORTH AMERICA, INC. may collectively be referred to as "UPONOR".
- G. DOE INDIVIDUALS 1-20,000 and ROE BUSINESS or GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES 1-20 000, whose identities are presently unknown, are the subject of ongoing discovery, and therefore are sued under fictitious names. DOE INDIVIDUALS 1-20,000 and ROE BUSINESS or GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES 1-20,000 were responsible for and engaged in the design, development, testing, manufacture, distribution, supply, marketing, sale and warranting of the defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zine brass plumbing components in the Las Vegas Valley and/or were involved in the planning, development, design, construction, warranting, repair, selection of materials, supply of materials, installation of materials and/or sale of the Subject Homes, which contain defective high zine Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zine brass components, and proximately caused the injuries and damages herein alleged. Plaintiffs will seek leave to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities as they are ascertained.
- 7. Hereinafter Defendants UPONOR; DOE INDIVIDUALS 1-20,000 and ROE BUSINESS or GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES 1-20,000 may be collectively referred to as "Defendants".
- 8. On March 5, 2010, Plaintiff DAKOTA CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC., individually, on behalf of its members and on behalf of similarly situated homeowners associations and homeowners put UPONOR on NRS Chapter 40 notice of constructional defects notifying it/them that the high zine Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zine brass components installed at the Dakota condominium community and throughout the Las Vegas Valley are unsuitable for use and are defective because they corrode under normal and reasonably foreseeable use. By letter dated March 22, 2010, UPONOR disclaimed responsibility, contending that it/they did not qualify as a "subcontractor" or "supplier" as set forth in the NRS Chapter 40 statutory scheme and that NRS Chapter 40 does/did not

apply to it/them. **Exhibit 1**. Therefore, it is/was not necessary for other Plaintiffs, including other class representatives, to provide Chapter 40 notice to UPONOR.

- 9. Based on a valid and reliable representative sampling, Plaintiffs allege that the defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass component defects are original common defects that exist in the Subject Homes, as stated above, unless otherwise repaired, altered or modified subsequent to original sale.
- 10. Plaintiffs allege and assert that they have had to obtain legal counsel with the firms Canepa, Riedy & Rubino; Maddox, Isaacson & Cisneros; Kemp Jones & Coulthard, LLP; Lynch Hopper & Salzano, LLP and Carraway & Associates, LLC to represent them in the underlying claim and in this legal action, and therefore they are entitled by NRS Chapter 40, other statutes and common law to recover, amongst other damages and entitlements therein, their reasonable attorney's fees, expert fees, costs, expenses and interest upon the same as part of any judgment rendered in this matter.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. This Court has jurisdiction in this matter, and venue is proper, in that the basis of this Complaint arises from Defendants' design, development, testing, manufacture, distribution, supply, marketing, sale and warranting of the defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components in the Las Vegas Valley and/or from Defendants' planning, development, design, construction, warranting, repair, selection of materials, supply of materials, installation of materials and/or sale of the Subject Homes, which are all located in the Las Vegas Valley, and which contain defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass components.

IV. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

12. A class action is alleged pursuant to Rule 23 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure. The class consists of owners of residences, Nevada Common Interest Communities, and others in the Las Vegas Valley whose homes, or whose members' homes, contain defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components.

Ī

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 13. The class consisting of all similarly-situated owners of residences, Nevada Common Interest Communities and others in the Las Vegas Valley, whose homes, or whose members' homes, contain defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components is so numerous that joinder would be impractical and disposition of their claims in a class action is in the best interests of the parties and judicial economy.
- 14. This action involves questions of law and fact common to each member of the class, in that all members of the class have suffered damages as a result of the installation of defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components in their homes or in their members' homes.
- 15. The claims of Plaintiffs, and relief herein sought, are typical of the claims and relief which could be prayed for as to each member of the class generally.
- Plaintill's can fairly and adequately protect the interests of all members of the class. The Subject Homes all contain defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components.
- 17. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the class which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the party or parties opposing the class.
- 18. Class Counsel has the experience, knowledge, and resources to adequately and properly represent the interests of the class.

V. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 19. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times relevant herein, Defendants, including DOES and ROES, were the officers, agents, employees, representatives, affiliates and/or alter egos of each other in doing the things alleged herein and in so doing were acting in the scope of their respective authority and agency.
- 20. Defendants are "Contractors", "Subcontractors" and/or "Suppliers" as those terms are understood and defined by NRS 40.620, 40.632 and 40.634.

21. Wirsbo PEX, which was installed and used in the Subject Homes, is a plastic pipe product designed to distribute potable water. It is made of crosslinked polyethelene. Polyethylene, referred to as PE, is the raw material and the X refers to the crosslinking of the polyethylene across its molecular chains.

- Brass is an alloy primarily composed of copper and zinc. The high zinc Wirsbo brass fittings and other attendant high zinc brass plumbing components installed and used as part of the plumbing systems in the Subject Homes are corroding due to a well-known chemical reaction called dezincification. "High zinc" means having a zinc content of greater that 15% and/or not otherwise treated to resist dezincification. Dezincification is a form of selective leaching, or removal of an element (zinc) from the brass alloy by corrosion. As a result, the high zinc brass fittings and attendant components become porous, brittle and blocked with zinc oxide and/or zinc carbonate, inevitably leading to restricted water flow, corrosion, cracks and leaks. Therefore, the installation and use of high zinc brass fittings and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components in the Subject Homes causes substantial injury/damage to Plaintiffs by impairing the ability of the plumbing system to effectively operate as a domestic water delivery system.
- 23. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that the above-referenced high zinc brass plumbing deficiencies and defective conditions have proximately caused damage to Plaintiffs. Said damages include, but are not limited to: dezincification corrosion, plumbing blockages, loss of function, loss of integrity, cracks, weeps, leaks, system failures and damage to other property, appliances and components. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that these damages are pervasive and exist in the Subject Homes.
- 24. The Subject Homes may have suffered damages in other ways and to other extents not presently known to Plaintiffs, and not specified above. Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this Complaint upon discovery of any additional damages not referenced herein, and/or to present evidence of the same at the time of trial of this action.
- 25. Based on a valid and reliable representative sampling, other property inspections, Association maintenance and/or repair records, and based on expert investigation and work. Plaintiffs allege that the plumbing system defects described above with particularity are original common defects

that exist in the Subject Homes, as stated above, unless the homes were otherwise repaired, altered or modified subsequent to original sale.

- 26. Plaintiffs allege and assert that their claim and this legal action have all been brought in a timely manner and within the applicable statute of limitations and repose periods.
- 27. Plaintiffs allege and assert that they have had to obtain legal counsel with the firms of Canepa, Riedy & Rubino; Maddox, Isaacson & Cisneros; Kemp Jones & Coulthard, LLP; Lynch Hopper & Salzano, LLP and Carraway & Associates, LLC to represent them in the underlying claim and in this legal action, and that they are entitled by NRS Chapter 40, other statutes and common law to recover, amongst other things and entitlements therein, reasonable attorney's fees, expert fees, costs, expenses and interest upon the same as part of any judgment rendered in this matter. Furthermore, due to UPONOR's disclaimer of liability and/or other conduct, Plaintiffs may be entitled to extra-statutory damages according to proof, including but not limited to personal and/or punitive damages.
- 28. Pursuant to NRS §40.600 through 40.695 inclusive and to the fullest extent of the law, Plaintiffs seek recovery for injuries and/or damages. Plaintiffs' damages exceed \$10,000.00.

VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Breach of Implied Warranties of Fitness for Particular Purpose, Merchantability, Habitability, Quality, and Workmanship)

- 29. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendants, including DOFS and ROES, were engaged in and were responsible for the design, development, testing, manufacture, distribution, supply, marketing, sale and warranting of defective high zinc Wirsho brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components installed and used in the Las Vegas Valley and/or were involved in the planning, development, design, construction, warranting, repair, selection of materials, supply of materials, installation of materials and/or sale of the Subject Homes, which contain defective high zinc Wirsho brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass components, and that Plaintiffs were intended third-party beneficiaries of each and every such act and/or warranty.

- 31. By designing, making, marketing, supplying, selecting, installing and/or causing the high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components to be installed in the Subject Homes, Defendants expressly and/or impliedly warranted that said systems and components were free of defective materials, were of merchantable quality, were suitable and fit for the ordinary purpose for which said systems and components were intended, were safe, were proper, and that the Subject Homes were constructed in a workmanlike manner and were habitable. Defendants also actively sought to distribute their products in this marketplace by making certain representations and warranties about their plumbing system to national, state and/or local agencies, such as local building authorities, wherein the product system was marketed and sold as approved for use in accordance with national, state and/or local laws. As a result of such representations and warranties, national, state and/or local agencies approved the high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing system and attendant plumbing component parts for use, conveying such defective information and warranties to Plaintiffs.
- 32. Further, Defendants impliedly warranted that the high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant brass plumbing components were fit for the particular purpose they were intended, and that said systems and components would perform in a defect-free manner.
- 33. Plaintiffs purchased their homes in reliance on the implied warranties and/or promises made by the Defendants, including DOES and ROES, and each of them.
- 34. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendants, including DOES and ROES, breached said warranties by failing to adequately and properly develop, design, manufacture, assemble, distribute, market, sell, warrant, select materials, install and/or cause to be installed the high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant plumbing components in the Subject Homes and/or by failing to provide defect-free plumbing systems and attendant plumbing components in the Subject Homes.
- 35. Plaintiffs, or some of them, have notified Defendants, and each of them, of said breach of implied warranties and said Defendants have refused and continue to refuse to remedy these defects.
- 36. As a direct and proximate result of the breaches of the implied warranties by Defendants, including DOES and ROES, and each of them as herein alleged, Plaintiffs have been, and will continue to be, caused damage as more fully described herein, including but not limited to product failures.

dezincification corrosion, leaks, blockages, loss of use and function of the plumbing systems and related improvements and/or appliances provided by others, resultant damage to the Subject Homes and work of others (e.g., water loss, damage to framing, drywall and/or other building materials), resultant damage to water quality, economic losses including costs of maintenance and/or repair, and all reasonable fees, costs, interest and/or expenses associated therewith. These damages and others are expected to increase over time as the defective systems and components continue to fail.

- 37. As a further direct and proximate result of the breaches of the implied warranties by Defendants, including DOES and ROES, and each of them as herein alleged, Plaintiffs have suffered injuries and/or damages in an amount not fully known but believed to be within the jurisdiction of this Court in that they have been and will hereafter be required to perform works of repair, restoration, and construction to all or portions of the Subject Homes to prevent further damage and to restore the Subject Homes to their proper condition. Plaintiffs will establish the amount of their damages at the time of trial according to proof.
- 38. As a further direct and proximate result of the breaches of the implied warranties by Defendants, including DOES and ROES, and each of them, Plaintiffs have been compelled to resort to litigation against Defendants to judicially resolve their differences. Plaintiffs request an award of consequential damages, including, but not limited to attorney's fees and costs incurred herein, in amounts to be established at the time of trial, which includes all fees and expenses incurred before the filing of this complaint.
 - 39. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate their prayers for relief as though set forth herein.
- 40. Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their attorney's fees, costs and expenses pursuant to NRS 40.600 et seq., NRS 116.4117, NRS 18.010 and other laws.

VII. <u>SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION</u> (Breach of Express Warranties as Against Defendant UPONOR Only)

- 41. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 42. Defendant UPONOR did prepare, distribute and provide express warranties as part of the high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing system. These warranties provide coverage for certain defects in the

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

plumbing system. These warranties were intended for use by customers and end users of the plumbing system, including Plaintiffs, who relied upon such warranties and paid for them as part of the purchase of their homes or assumption of duties related to the Subject Homes.

- Defendant UPONOR expressly warranted to Plaintiffs and/or their predecessors in 43. interest, or their public representatives, that the high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing system was developed, designed, manufactured and distributed in conformance with and any and all applicable safety standards, building and product codes, standards and regulations. In so doing, Defendant UPONOR expressly warranted that its product system was fit, sound and safe, and would remain so for a reasonable period of time. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that the express warranties made and used by Defendant UPONOR has at all relevant times been written in the form of, by example, and without limitation: product catalogues, instruction manuals, ASTM and/or other codes or standards, advertising flyers, brochures, sales literature, promotional packages, signs, magazine and newspaper articles and advertisements, all designed to promote the introduction and ultimate sale of the defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing system in this marketplace, and to promote the belief that said system had been properly developed, designed, manufactured and distributed for use in this particular jurisdiction. Further, Plaintiffs allege that the express warranties were also oral, including without limitation, statements made to Plaintiffs and/or public representatives in introducing, marketing and offering the plumbing system for approval and use in this jurisdiction.
- 44. Plaintiffs purchased their homes in reliance of the express warranties, affirmations of fact, and promises made by Defendant UPONOR. Plaintiffs, and each of them, have duly performed all the conditions and covenants of said warranties on their part to be performed.
- 45. Plaintiffs, or some of them, notified Defendant UPONOR of said breach of warranties, and Defendant UPONOR has refused, and continues to refuse, to remedy the defective conditions at the Subject Homes.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of the breaches of the express warranties by Defendant UPONOR as herein alleged, Plaintiffs have been, and will continue to be, caused damage as more fully described herein, including but not limited to product failures, dezincification corrosion, leaks, blockages, loss of use and function of the plumbing systems and related improvements and/or appliances

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

provided by others, resultant damage to the Subject Homes and work of others (e.g., water loss, damage to framing, drywall and/or other building materials), resultant damage to water quality, economic losses including costs of maintenance and/or repair, and all reasonable fees, costs, interest and/or expenses associated therewith. These damages and others are expected to increase over time as the defective systems and components continue to fail.

- As a further direct and proximate result of the breaches of the express warranties by 47. Defendant UPONOR as herein alleged, Plaintiffs have suffered injuries and/or damages in an amount not fully known but believed to be within the jurisdiction of this Court in that they have been and will hereafter be required to perform works of repair, restoration, and construction to all or portions of the Subject Homes to prevent further damage and to restore the Subject Homes to their proper condition. Plaintiffs will establish the amount of their damages at the time of trial according to proof.
- As a further direct and proximate result of the breaches of the express warranties by 48. Defendant UPONOR as herein alleged, Plaintiffs have been compelled to resort to litigation against Defendants to judicially resolve their differences. Plaintiffs request an award of consequential damages, including, but not limited to attorney's fees and costs incurred herein, in amounts to be established at the time of trial, which includes all fees and expenses incurred before the filing of this complaint.
 - 49. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate their prayers for relief as though set forth herein.
- 50. Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their attorney's fees, costs and expenses pursuant to NRS 40.600 et seq., NRS 116.4117, NRS 18.010 and other laws.

(Negligence, Including Negligent Misrepresentation, Failure to Warn/Instruct, Negligent Selection and Negligent Installation)

- Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint 51. as though fully set forth herein.
- 52. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants, including DOES and ROES, and each of them, knew or should have known that the high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components were not properly or adequately designed, tested, engineered, marketed, distributed, marked, labeled, represented (including instructions and warnings), selected or installed,

such that Plaintiffs have been substantially damaged or injured thereby, and that said Defendants knew or should have known that the Subject Homes, which contain defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components, are defective because they are not, and were not, developed, designed, manufactured, assembled, constructed, plumbed, distributed, marketed, sold and/or warranted in accordance with applicable laws, codes and/or standards of care.

- 53. Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants, including DOES and ROES, and each of them, were under a duty to exercise ordinary care to avoid reasonably foreseeable harm to Plaintiffs, and knew or should have foreseen with reasonable certainty that Plaintiffs would suffer injury and/or monetary damages as set forth herein.
- 54. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendants, including DOES and ROES, breached said duty by negligently designing, developing, manufacturing, distributing, marketing and/or selling unreasonably unsafe and defective plumbing systems and attendant parts, which were installed and used in the Subject Homes, and/or by selecting and/or installing said plumbing systems and components in the Subject Homes, or causing the same to be installed, in a manner inconsistent with manufacturer's specifications, local, state and national codes and/or standards of performance within the industry, as well as failing to select and/or use materials that are capable of performing in a defect-free manner.
- 55. Defendants' negligence alleged above includes the failure to provide adequate information to local building code authorities. Plaintiffs and/or their predecessors in interest are members of the class of persons which the building codes and ordinances were designed to protect. Such violations are negligence per se on the part of Defendants, and each of them.
- 56. Defendants' negligence includes misrepresentations about the defective plumbing systems and components given to Plaintiffs and/or Plaintiffs' representatives, upon which Plaintiffs or their representatives relied, all to their detriment and damage.
- 57. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' negligence, including DOES and ROES, and each of them as herein above alleged, Plaintiffs have been, and will continue to be, caused damage as more fully described herein, including but not limited to including but not limited to product failures, dezincification corrosion, leaks, blockages, loss of use and function of the plumbing system and

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

related improvements and/or appliances provided by others, resultant damage to the Subject Homes and work of others (e.g., water loss, damage to framing, drywall and/or other building materials), resultant damage to water quality, economic losses including costs of maintenance and/or repair, and all reasonable fees, costs, interest and/or expenses associated therewith. These damages and others are expected to increase over time as the defective systems and components continue to fail.

- As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence, including DOES and 58. ROES, and each of them as herein above alleged. Plaintiffs have suffered injuries and/or damages in an amount not fully known but believed to be within the jurisdiction of this Court in that they have been and will hereafter be required to perform works of repair, restoration, and construction to all or portions of the Subject Homes to prevent further damage and to restore the Subject Homes to their proper condition. Plaintiffs will establish the amount of their damages at the time of trial according to proof.
- As a further direct and proximate result of the breaches of Defendants' negligence, 59. including DOES and ROES, and each of them, Plaintiffs have been compelled to resort to litigation against Defendants to judicially resolve their differences. Plaintiffs request an award of consequential damages, including, but not limited to attorney's fees and costs incurred herein, in amounts to be established at the time of trial, which includes all fees and expenses incurred before the filing of this complaint.
- The damages and/or injuries suffered by Plaintiffs, as set forth herein, are of the kind 60. which ordinarily do not occur in the absence of negligence, negligence per se, carelessness and/or as a result of unworkmanlike conduct.
- The damages and/or injuries suffered by Plaintiffs, as set forth herein, were caused by an 61. agency or instrumentality over which Defendants had the exclusive right or control, and which was not mishandled or otherwise changed after Defendants relinquished control.
- 62. The damages and/or injuries suffered by Plaintiffs, as set forth herein, were not due to any voluntary action on the part of Plaintiffs.
- Defendants have superior knowledge and/or are in a better position to explain the 63. damages and/or injuries suffered by Plaintiffs, as set forth herein.

Ó

-**8** -9

- 64. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference, as if set forth herein, the particular statement of damages described in the prayer for relief.
- 65. Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their attorney's fees, costs and expenses pursuant to NRS 40.600 et seq., NRS 116.4117, NRS 18.010 and other laws.

IX. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Strict Products Liability)

- 66. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reallege each and every Paragraph alleged above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 67. At all relevant times herein. Defendants, including DOES and ROES, developed, designed, manufactured, supplied, distributed, marketed, sold, warranted, selected materials and installed, or caused to be installed, defective plumbing systems and component parts as heretofore identified in the Subject Homes, notably defective high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components that have prematurely failed due to dezincification corrosion, so as to cause the Subject Homes to be in a dangerous, defective, unsafe and unfit condition for habitation.
- 68. Defendants knew and/or should have known and expected that their products would be placed in the stream of commerce, and on the market, and would reach Plaintiffs without substantial change and would be installed in the same defective condition in which they were originally designed, manufactured and sold.
- 69. At all times relative hereto. Defendants, including DOES and ROES, owed a duty of reasonable care to the Plaintiffs in the design, development, manufacture, distribution, marketing, sale, use, selection and installation of materials in the Subject Homes.
- 70. Defendants breached their duties by failing to adequately and properly design, develop, manufacture, distribute, market, sell, select and/or install the plumbing systems and component parts in the Subject Homes in that said system and component parts are defective and/or otherwise unsuitable for use, resulting in failures and damage to Plaintiffs. For example, but not by way of limitation, the plumbing systems and components have failed (dezincified), caused damage to other property, caused blockage, stress corrosion cracking, weeping, leakage, loss of functionality and use and other damages,

as previously set forth. Defendants also had prior notice and knowledge of said defects and potential damages generally, and failed to act timely and accordingly to remedy these defects.

- As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing, Plaintiffs have suffered injuries and/or damages in an amount not fully known but believed to be within the jurisdiction of this Court in that they have been and will hereafter be required to perform works of repair, restoration, and construction to all or portions of the Subject Homes to prevent further damage and to restore the Subject Homes to their proper condition. Plaintiffs will establish the amount of their damages at the time of trial according to proof.
- 72. As a further direct and proximate result of the foregoing, Plaintiffs have been compelled to resort to litigation against Defendants to judicially resolve their differences. Plaintiffs request an award of consequential damages, including, but not limited to attorney's fees and costs incurred herein, in amounts to be established at the time fo trial, which includes all fees and expenses incurred before the filing of this complaint.
- 73. Plaintiffs' damages and/or injuries, as set forth herein, are sufficient circumstantial evidence of proof of the existence of a defect, or an unreasonably dangerous condition, and evidence of a defect, or an unreasonably dangerous condition, is properly inferred under the doctrine of res ipsa loquitur.
 - 74. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate their prayers for relief as though set forth herein.
- 75. Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their attorney's fees, costs and expenses pursuant to NRS 40.600 et seq., NRS 116.4117, NRS 18.010 and other laws.

X. <u>FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION</u> (Declaratory and Equitable Relief)

- 76. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 77. Pursuant to NRS 30.040, any person whose rights, status or other legal relations are affected by a statute is entitled to have the Court determine any question of construction or validity arising under such statute by obtaining a declaration of their rights, status or other legal relations under such statute.

2.2.

- 78. Pursuant to NRS 30.130, when a party seeks declaratory relief, such as the relief sought herein, any person who has or claims any interest which would be affected by the declaration, shall be made a party to the declaratory relief action.
- 79. The purpose of NRS 30.010, et seq., is to settle and afford relief from uncertainty and insecurity with respect to rights, status and other legal relations, and these statutes are to be literally construction and administered (NRS 30.140).
- 80. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that an actual controversy and dispute exists by and between Plaintiffs and Defendants concerning Plaintiffs' rights under NRS 40.600 et. seq.: Plaintiffs' rights under other Nevada statutes; Plaintiffs' rights at common law: the sufficiency of UPONOR's NRS Chapter 40 response and Plaintiffs' entitlement to extra-statutory remedies. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that an actual controversy and dispute exits by and between Plaintiffs and Defendants concerning the ability of Plaintiffs to bring claims in their representative capacity(ies) or as a class action. The determination of these issues is essential to the administration of justice in this case. Plaintiffs respectfully ask the Court to resolve these issues prior to trial.
- 81. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that an actual controversy and dispute exists by and between Plaintiffs and Defendants about the scope and/or adequacy of legal rights and remedies available to Plaintiffs pursuant to NRS Chapter 40, pursuant to other Nevada statutes and at common law. The determination of these issues is essential to the administration of justice in this case. Plaintiffs respectfully ask the Court to resolve these issues prior to trial.
- 82. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that an actual controversy and dispute exists by and between Plaintiffs and Defendants concerning their respective rights and duties in that Plaintiffs claim that Defendants acted negligently, breached express and implied warranties and are strictly liable for the existence of defects in the high zinc Wirsbo brand plumbing systems and attendant high zinc brass plumbing components installed and used in the Subject Homes. The determination of these issues is essential to the administration of justice in this case. Plaintiffs respectfully ask the Court to resolve these issues prior to trial.

4

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 83. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that an actual controversy and dispute exists by and between Plaintiffs and Defendants concerning their respective rights and duties in that Plaintiffs claim that they have incurred, and will continue to incur, expenses, including costs of investigation, testing, analysis and repair, all in an amount to be established at the time of trial. Plaintiffs respectfully ask the Court to resolve these issues prior to trial
- Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that an actual controversy 84. and dispute exists by and between Plaintiffs and Defendants concerning their respective rights and duties in that Plaintiffs claim that as a proximate and legal result of the negligent conduct, breach of warranties and other wrongful conduct of Defendants, and each of them, and the defective conditions affecting the Subject Homes, Plaintiffs have been compelled to resort to litigation to judicially resolve their differences. Plaintiffs request an award of consequential damages, including, but not limited to attorney's fees and costs incurred in such litigation, in amounts to be established at the time of trial, including all fees and expenses incurred before the filing of this Complaint, pursuant to NRS 40.600 et seq. NRS 116.4117, NRS 18.010 and other laws. The determination of these issues is essential to the administration of justice in this case. Plaintiffs respectfully ask the Court to resolve these issues prior to trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For general and special damages pursuant to NRS 40,600 et seq, and all other statutory or common law causes of action, as plead in this Complaint, all in an amount in excess of \$10,000;
- 2. For the costs of repair and/or replacement of defects, in a sum to be determined according to proof;
- 3. For extra-statutory damages based on the Defendants' conduct and responses during the NRS Chapter 40 claims process;
- 4. For costs and expenditures to correct, cure or mitigate injustices and damages caused or that will be caused by defects and/or deficiencies as set forth in the above Complaint;
- 5. Economic losses associated with the defects and/or deficiencies, including loss of use, relocation and alternative housing:

ì

- 7. For an award of pre-judgment interest on all monetary damages, fees and costs award in this action.
- 8. For a declaration of the interpretation, construction and applicability of NRS Chapter 116 and NRS 40.600 et. seq. as it pertains to the facts and circumstances alleged herein;
- 9. A declaration that the instant action is properly commenced pursuant to NRS Chapter 116, NRS 40.600 et seq., and at common law, and resolves any and all disputes between Plaintiffs and Defendants which arise out of the constructional defects, conduct, transactions and occurrences set forth in the above Complaint;
 - 10. For a declaratory judgment adjudicating the relative rights and duties of the parties;
- 11. For such further declaratory and equitable relief as the Court may deem necessary and appropriate under the circumstances; and

EXHIBIT 1



March 22, 2010

INTENDED FOR MEDIATION AND SETTLEMENT PURPOSES ONLY—PROTECTED FROM DISCLOSURE PURSUANT TO ALL APPLICABLE NEVADA STATUTES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, NRS 40,680 AND 48,109

Via E-Mail and U.S. Mail

Mr. Terry W. Riedy, Esq. Canepa Riedy & Rubino 851 S. Rampart Blvd. Suite 160 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Re: Response to Notice of Defect Pursuant to NRS, 40.645; Dakota Homeowners Association

Dear Mr. Riedy:

As you are aware, Grotefeld, Hoffmann, Schleiter, Gordon & Ochoa, LLP, along with Bremer, Whyte, Brown and O'Meara represent Uponor, Inc. and Wirsbo regarding certain Clark County, Nevada based claims. We are in receipt of your NRS Chapter 40 Notice letter dated March 5, 2010, sent on behalf of the Dakota Homeowners Association pursuant to NRS 40.645, in connection with the 21 buildings consisting of 173 homes referred to in the March 5, 2010 letter. This correspondence will serve as Uponor and Wirsbo's initial response, pursuant to 40.645, to that Notice letter.

As Uponor's formal response to your Chapter 40 Notice letter, please be advised that Uponor <u>declines</u> to undertake repairs related to the claimed condition of the plumbing system in the residences referenced above.

Your correspondence to Uponor indicates that you are providing the Notice letter based on NRS 40. Uponor did not serve as a "subcontractor" in connection with the above referenced development. Furthermore, Uponor does not believe that it qualifies as a "supplier" for purposes of NRS 40.645 or NRS 40.646 given the definition of "supplier" as set forth in NRS 40.634. Consequently, Uponor does not believe that Chapter 40 is applicable to it for purposes of your correspondence sent pursuant to NRS 40.645.

Mr. Terry W. Riedy, Esq. March 22, 2010 Page 2

Notwithstanding Uponor's formal declination of your Chapter 40 notice, we do wish to remain in contact with you regarding this claim and its future handling by your office.

Should you have any questions with respect to the foregoing, please feel free to contact the us.

Very truly yours,

HLL/sr

cc: Mr. John Schleiter Mr. Peter Brown

EXHIBIT "2"

EXHIBIT "2"

Electronically Filed

CANETA RICDY & RUBINO
3000 Kapper Balling Series of the Vega Device 8915 885
746, 279 (10) 54.715 (20) 485 485

8

Ÿ

10

1 1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

;	VS.	į
2	UPONOR, INC., a Delaware corporation; UPONOR CORPORATION, a Finnish corporation, DOE INDIVIDUALS 1-50; and ROE BUSINESS or GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES 1-	!))))
4	50, including Nevada corporations,	\langle
5	Defendants.))
6		j

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff(s) against you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.

- 1. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 20 days after this Summons is served on you exclusive of the day of service, you must do the following:
- a. File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court.
- b. Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.

22 Issued at direction of:

CANEPA RIEDY & RUBINO

By

SCOTT K. CANEPA, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 004556 TERRY W. RIEDY, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 003895 851 S. Rampurt Blvd.. Suite 160 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Plaintiff CLERK OF COURT

DEPUTY CLERN

Date

APR 8 8 2011

County Courthouse

200 Lewis Avenue TERRI STRINGER Las Vegas, Nevada 89153 SCOTT K. CANEPA, ESQ., NSB#4556

CANEPA RIEDY & RUBINO 851 SOUTH RAMPART, SUITE 160 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145

(702) 304-2335

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

	CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION,	Case No.: A63839:
INC.	Plaintiffs,	
VS		Dept. No.: XXII
UPONOR,	INC., et al.	7.44
	Defendants.	

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF UPONOR, INC.

LEAVIN PETERSON, being duly sworn says: That at all times herein affiant was over 18 years of Age, not a party to or interested in the proceeding in which this affidavit is made. That Affiant received one copy of the SUMMONS and COMPLAINT and served the same by delivering a copy to the Defendant, UPONOR, INC., in the following manner:

BY PERSONAL SERVICE to the Registered Agent: DANIEL T. KADLEC, ESQ.

Date/Time Served	APRIL 18, 2011. 2:51 PM	
Served To	DANIEL 1. KADLEC, ESQ.	
Served At	7900 XERXES AVE \$ #1700	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55431
I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State EXECUTED this Aday of April 20 // 20 // No Notary is Required per NRS 53.045		te of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct. Signature of Person Making Service
		Served for: SOUTHERN NEVADA PROCESS SERVICE 9041 S Pecos Rd Ste 4170 Henderson, NV 89074

Telephone (702) 319-5300 Fax 319-5301

Nevada License Number 662

45171

EXHIBIT "3"

Electronically Filed 05/17/2011 03:01:15 PM

	1	05/17/2011 03:01:15 PM
2 3	IAFD PETER C. BROWN, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 5887 BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP 7670 WEST LAKE MEAD BOULEVARD SUITE 225 LAS VEGAS, NV 89128	CLERK OF THE COURT
5	TELEPHONE: (702) 258-6665 FACSIMILE: (702) 258-6662 pbrown@bremerandwhyte.com	
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant, UPONOR, INC.	
8	DISTRICT (COURT
9	CLARK COUNT	ſY, NEVADA
10	DAKOTA CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, a) Nevada non-profit community association,) Case No. A638395) Dept No.: XXII
	individually and in its representative capacity; DOE Homeowner/Condominium/Community))) INITIAL APPEARANCE FEE
12 13	Associations 1-10,000; DOE/ROE PERSONS 1-250,00,) DISCLOSURE)
14	Plaintiffs,)))
15	vs.	,))
16	WIRSBO COMPANY, an Illinois corporation;))
17	UPONOR WIRSBO COMPANY, an Illinois company; UPONOR, INC., an Illinois corporation; UPONOR CORPORATION, a))
	Finnish corporation, UPONOR NORTH AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; DOE	,))
	INDIVIDUALS 1-20,00 and ROE BUSINESS or GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES 1-20,000.)
20 <u> </u> 21	Defendants.))
22	<i> </i>	,
23	///	
24	///	
25	<i>!!!</i>	
26	/// 	
27 28 i	/!/ 	
BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O MEARA LLP O MEARA LLP 7670 WES LIEVE MEAR BNG Suite 225 Ls. Vegas NV 89128 (702) 258 6665	C. Program Files New in.C. im Decriment Converter temp 1776503, 2082045 D	NVC

Case 2:11-cv-00812-RLH -PAL Document 1 Filed 05/18/11 Page 39 of 44

1	Pursuant to N.R.S. Chapter 19, as	amended by Senate Bill 106, filing fees are submitted for
2	parties appearing in the above-entitled ac	tion as indicated below:
3	UPONOR, INC.	\$473.00
4	TOTAL REMITTED:	\$473.00
5	Dated: May 17, 2011	BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP
6		
7		By
8		Peter C. Brown, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 5887
9		Attorneys for Defendant UPONOR, Inc.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
BROWN & LLP Vead 8fvd 5 89128		2

BREMER WHYTE BROWN 8 O'MEARA LLP 7670 West Lake Mead Blvd Sune 225 Las Vegas NV 89128 (702) 258 6665

H 3226 018 CF IAED doc

Electronically Filed 05/17/2011 03:02:13 PM

1	!	05/17/2011 03:02:13 PM
2 3 4	IAFD PETER C. BROWN, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 5887 BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP 7670 WEST LAKE MEAD BOULEVARD SUITE 225 LAS VEGAS, NV 89128 TELEPHONE: (702) 258-6665 FACSIMILE: (702) 258-6662 pbrown@bremerandwhyte.com Attorneys for Defendant, UPONOR, INC.	CLERK OF THE COURT
8	DISTRICT	COURT
9	CLARK COUNT	TY, NEVADA
10	DAKOTA CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit community association,	Case No. A638395 Dept No.: XXII
11 12	individually and in its representative capacity; DOE Homeowner/Condominium/Community Associations 1-10,000; DOE/ROE PERSONS 1-) NOTICE OF APPEARANCE)
13	250,00,)
14	Plaintiffs,))
15	VS.))
16	WIRSBO COMPANY, an Illinois corporation: UPONOR WIRSBO COMPANY, an Illinois	,))
17	company; UPONOR, INC., an Illinois corporation; UPONOR CORPORATION, a))
	Finnish corporation, UPONOR NORTH AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; DOE))
19 20 l	INDIVIDUALS 1-20,00 and ROÉ BUSINESS or GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES 1-20,000.))
20	Defendants.)))
22	<i>III</i> :	,
23	<i>!!!</i>	
24	///	
25	<i>H</i>	
26	<i>!!!</i>	
27	<i> </i>	
PREMER WHYTE BRUWN & OMEARALLP TO MEARALLP TO West Lake Meed Bivd Suite 225 Las Vegas NV 89128 (702) 258 6565	C. Program File - Necvin Com Decument Com erter temp 1776510-2082052 I	DW.

Case 2:11-cv-00812-RLH -PAL Document 1 Filed 05/18/11 Page 41 of 44

1	YOU AND EACH OF YOU WILL PLEA	SE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant, UPONOR,
2	2 INC., is represented by Peter C. Brown, Esq., of B	sremer, Whyte, Brown & O'Meara, LLP, as
3	3 attorneys of record in the instant action and all not	ices, papers, pleadings, correspondence shall be
4	4 served upon Peter C. Brown, Esq., on behalf of De	efendant, UPONOR, INC., in the above-entitled
5	5 matter.	
6	6 Dated: May 17, 2011 BRE	MER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP
7	7	
8	8	Peter C. Brown, Esq.
9	9	Nevada State Bar No. 5887 Attorneys for Defendant
10	10	UPONOR, Inc.
11	11	
12	12	
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18	18	
19	19	
20	20	
21	21	
22	22	
23	23	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	28	

BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP 7670 West Lake Mead Blvd Sinh 275 Las Vegas, NV 89128 17021 258 6665

EXHIBIT "4"

EXHIBIT "4"

```
PETER C. BROWN, ESO.
            Nevada State Bar No. 5887
            BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP
            7670 WEST LAKE MEAD BOULEVARD
           SUITE 225
            LAS VEGAS, NV 89128
            TELEPHONE: (702) 258-6665
            FACSIMILE: (702) 258-6662
            pbrown@bremerandwhyte.com
            Attorneys for Defendant,
            UPONOR, INC.
                                             DISTRICT COURT
         8
                                         CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
         0
            DAKOTA CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, a
                                                        ) Case No. A638395
            Nevada non-profit community association,
                                                          Dept No.: XXII
            individually and in its representative capacity;
        11
            DOE Homeowner/Condominium/Community
                                                          DEFENDANT UPONOR, INC.'S
            Associations 1-10,000; DOE/ROE PERSONS 1-
                                                          NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION
        12
                                                          TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
            250,00.
                                                          COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF
        13
                         Plaintiffs,
                                                          NEVADA
        14
                  VS.
        15
            WIRSBO COMPANY, an Illinois corporation;
            UPONOR WIRSBO COMPANY, an Illinois
            company; UPONOR, INC., an Illinois
        17
            corporation; UPNOR CORPORATION, a
            Finnish corporation, UPONOR NORTH
        18
            AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; DOE
            INDIVIDUALS 1-20,00 and ROE BUSINESS
        19
            or GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES 1-20,000,
        20
                         Defendants.
        21
            TO:
                  DAKOTA CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION; and
        22
        23
                  SCOTT K. CANEPA, ESQ. and TERRY RIEDY, ESQ. of Canepa Riedy & Rubino;
            ROBERT MADDOX, ESO. and TROY L. ISAACSON, ESO. of Robert C. Maddox & Associates;
        24
            J. RANDALL JONES, ESQ. of Kemp Jones & Coulthard, LLP; and FRANCIS LYNCH, ESQ. of
            Lynch, Hopper & Salzano, LLP; JAMES C. CARRAWAY, ESQ. of Carraway & Associates, LLC
        25
            attorneys for Plaintiff:
        26
        27
        28
BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP 7670 West Lake Mead Blvd
 Las Vegas, NV 89128
  (702) 258 6665
```

H. 1226-018 CF Unonor's Removal from State Court doc

1	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant UPONOR, INC., has filed a Notice of Removal	
2	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(2)(A), 1441(a) and 1446(a). A copy of UPONOR, INC.'s	
3	Petition for Removal to the United States District Court is attached hereto.	
4	Dated: May 17, 2011	BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP
5		
6		By: Peter C. Brown, Esq.
7		Nevada State Bar No. 5887 Attorneys for Defendant
8		UPONOR WIRSBO COMPANY
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
BROWN & LLP Mead Blvd	•	2

BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP 7670 West Lake Mead Blvd Sine 225 Las Vegas NV 89128 (702) 258-6665